# Note

# SOLUBILITY AND THERMODYNAMIC DATA OF NICKEL HYDROXIDE

### N.S. CHICKERUR, B.B. SABAT and P.P. MAHAPATRA

Post Graduate Department of Chemistry, Khallikote College, Berhampur-760 001 (India)

(Received 8 April 1980)

Since nickel hydroxide plays an important role in the solubility of hydroxyapatite,  $Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_2$ , containing nickel as an impurity, the solubility studies of nickel hydroxide at various temperatures ranging from 25 to 40°C at an interval of 5°C has been undertaken and related thermodynamic data calculated. Nickel can be considered to become incorporated into the apatite [1,2] by the ion exchange process

 $\operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6(\operatorname{OH})_2 + n \operatorname{Ni}^{2^+}_2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{10-n}\operatorname{Ni}_n(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6(\operatorname{OH})_2 + n \operatorname{Ca}^{2^+}_2$ 

In view of the divergent solubility data of nickel hydroxide reported earlier [3-6], as a part of the physico-chemical investigations on hydroxyapatite containing nickel, it is necessary to reinvestigate the solubility product of nickel hydroxide.

# EXPERIMENTAL

Nickel hydroxide was precipitated at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a CO<sub>2</sub>-free atmosphere by the dropwise addition of a 0.8 M solution of sodium hydroxide to a 0.4 M nickel nitrate solution for a theoretical yield of 10 g. The mixing of the reagents was completed within about 1 h and the precipitate was left overnight in contact with the mother liquor to improve the crystallinity. The sample was dried at 100°C for 4 h to constant weight. The nickel content of the sample was determined complexometrically using murexide as indicator. The experimental g at.% of nickel was found to be 0.99, approaching the theoretical value of 1.07 for anhydrous nickel hydroxide. The solubility was determined by the method of equilibration used earlier [7,8] at 25, 30, 35 and 40°C.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The solubility of the sample with respect to  $Ni^{2+}$  in the solution was found to increase within about 2 h of equilibration and thereafter, it decreased. It attained saturation in about 4 h. The solubility product was then calculated from the experimentally determined nickel content and pH

0040-6031/80/0000-0000/\$02.50 - © 1980 - Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company

| Values reported  | Our values  |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
|  | After 2 h<br>equilibration  | After 4 h<br>equilibration  |  |
| 8.7 × $10^{-19}$ (ref. 3)<br>1.0 × $10^{-18}$ (ref. 4)<br>2.0 × $10^{-15}$ (ref. 5)<br>1.006 × $10^{-11}$ (ref. 6) | $\begin{array}{c} 1.301 \times 10^{-12} \ (25^{\circ}\mathrm{C}) \\ 2.43 \times 10^{-12} \ (30^{\circ}\mathrm{C}) \\ 2.96 \times 10^{-12} \ (35^{\circ}\mathrm{C}) \\ 8.586 \times 10^{-12} \ (40^{\circ}\mathrm{C}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \times 10^{-12} \ (25^{\circ}\text{C}) \\ 2.03 \times 10^{-12} \ (30^{\circ}\text{C}) \\ 1.68 \times 10^{-12} \ (35^{\circ}\text{C}) \\ 2.9 \times 10^{-12} \ (40^{\circ}\text{C}) \end{array}$ |  |

Values of solubility product for nickel hydroxide

of the medium of equilibration using the relationship

 $K_{\rm s} = 4S^3$ 

for the equilibrium

 $Ni(OH)_2(S) \Rightarrow Ni^{2+}(aq) + 2 OH^{-}(aq)$ 

The results are given in Table 1.

The initial increase in the solubility is considered to be consequent upon the formation of a hydrate which corresponded to the composition  $Ni(OH)_2$ .  $H_2O$  and the subsequent process is due to the dissolution of this monohydrate. Our thermodynamic data included in Table 2 is for the process of hydration.

The divergent values of the solubility product of nickel hydroxide may be due to the difference in the composition of the samples prepared under different experimental conditions. Such samples were reported to have various compositions, e.g.  $4 \operatorname{Ni}(OH)_2 \cdot H_2O$ ,  $\operatorname{Ni}(OH)_2 \cdot 1.5 H_2O$  and  $\operatorname{Ni}(OH)_2$  [6,9,10]. The difference in the solubility product after about 2 h of equilibration (at the point of highest solubility) and 4 h (after attainment of saturation) was noticeable only at 40°C (see Table 1).

The calculated values of  $K_s$  were then fitted to an equation of the form

$$-\log K_{\rm s} = A + BT + \frac{C}{T} \tag{1}$$

by the method of least squares. The values of the constants A, B and C in eqn. (1) were found to be A = -449, B = 0.62108 and C = 82788.305, respectively. These values were used in eqns. (2)–(5) to evaluate the standard thermodynamic quantities  $\Delta G^0$ ,  $\Delta H^0$ ,  $\Delta S^0$  and  $\Delta C_p^0$  of the dissolution process

$$Ni(OH)_{2}(s) \approx Ni^{2^{+}}(aq) + 2 OH^{-}(aq)$$

$$\Delta G^{0} = 2.3026R(A + BT + CT)$$

$$\Delta H^{0} = 2.3026R(A - CT^{2})$$

$$\Delta S^{0} = 2.3026R(B + 2CT)$$

$$(4)$$

$$\Delta C_{p}^{0} = 2.3026R(2CT)$$

$$(5)$$

TABLE 1

#### TABLE 2

| Temp.<br>(°C) | $\Delta G^0 \times 10^4$<br>(J mole <sup>-1</sup> ) | $\Delta H^0 	imes 10^4$ (J mole <sup>-1</sup> ) | $\Delta S^0 \times 10^4$<br>(J mole <sup>-1</sup> deg <sup>-1</sup> ) | $\Delta G_p^0 \times 10^3$ (J deg <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|
| 25            | 13.890  | 13.895  | -9.48   | -9.32   |
| 30            | 14.360  | 14.336  | -9.638  | -9.48   |
| 35            | 14.840  | 14.811  | -9.330  | -9.63   |
| 40            | 15.320  | 15.329  | -9.790  | -9.790  |

Standard thermodynamic quantities of nickel hydroxide in water at different temperatures after 2h equilibration

It is observed that  $\Delta G^0$  does not change appreciably with temperature, indicating that the dissolution process is less energy consuming. The positive value of  $\Delta G^0$  lends support to the view that nickel hydroxide is more soluble by solvation in water. Further, the increase in the positive values of  $\Delta H^0$ shows that the dissolution is an endothermic process; the increase of temerature results in increase of solubility of nickel hydroxide. The increase in the negative value of  $\Delta S^0$  indicates that the net amount of order created by Ni<sup>2+</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup> ions is more in aqueous media at higher temperatures.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Professor T.M. Patnaik for his encouragement. The award of research grants to two of the authors (NSC and PPM) by the U.G.C. (India) is gratefully acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 R. Klement and H. Brassen, Chem. Ber., 96 (1963) 1022.
- 2 H. Brassen and M.J. Dallemagne, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 135 (1949).
- 3 H.T.S. Britton, J. Chem. Soc., 127 (1925) 2110.
- 4 K. Murahe, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 3 (1928) 267.
- 5 C.N.R. Rao, A Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, East West Press, New Delhi, 1970.
- 6 G. Almkvist, Z. Anorg. Chem., 103 (1918) 240.
- 7 N.S. Chickerur and P.P. Mahapatra, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 40 (1978) 131.
- 8 N.S. Chickerur and P.P. Mahapatra, Indian J. Chem., 16A (1978) 672.
- 9 R. Tuppati, Ann. Chim. Phys., 78 (1840) 133.
- 10 J.L. Proust, Gehlen's J., 6 (1805) 580.